UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/808,459 | 03/25/2004 | Ivan Faul | 1353-006 | 4841 |
| | 7590 03/11/201 aw Group, PLLC | 0 | EXAMINER | |
| 11800 Sunrise V | | | FERNANDEZ, KATHERINE L | |
| Suite 1000 Reston, VA 20191 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 3768 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/11/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/808,459 | FAUL, IVAN |
| Examiner-initiated linerview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | KATHERINE L. FERNANDEZ | 3768 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Am</u> | <u>endment</u> |
| (1) <u>KATHERINE L. FERNANDEZ</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Robert Hansen</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 9 March 2010 | Time: <u>Afternoon</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: 1,5,11 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| /Eric F Winakur/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768 | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to place the application in condition for allowance, Examiner suggested several amendments to the claims. Examiner discussed changing the phrase "operatively associated" in line 26 of claim 1 to "attached" or "coupled". Applicant agreed to using the term "coupled". Examiner also suggested that the relationship between the disposable support element and the wedge shaped members be made clear in the claim and suggested claim 1, line 26 be amended to include the phrase ---wherein said emitters and said disposable support elements are coupled with different surfaces of wedge shaped member --- or similar language. Applicant agreed to amend claim 1 to make clear the relationship between the disposable support element and wedge members and further suggested that claim 1 be amended to include the wedge shaped member limitations earlier in the claim where the disposable support element is first introduced in the claim. Applicant agreed to submit a supplemental amendment with the suggested changes. Examiner also discussed canceling claim 5 and amending claim 11 to be dependent on claim 1, which Applicant agreed to.